Attachment to Independent Case Review Report For CDRU # <u>5855</u> Case file # <u>95-284520</u>.

Material Examiner:

Malone (RO)

Case resulted in trial, transcript available. Remarks:

	ew conducted	1 0y	Steve Robertso	<u> </u>		 , ·	
Area(s) of Experti	se: <u>Hair a</u>	nd Fiber		1			•
Review commenc	ed at: 9:15	AM	(Time),	03/12/01	(Date) _. .	•
File #: 95-284	520				-	r	
Laboratory #(s):	_80816032	•				•	-
	80913049			• •			
				••			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	•		Examiner(s	s) & Symbo	ols		
. , .	Revie	wed N	ot Reviewed	•		Reviewed .	Not Reviewe
RQ	X			-			Ó
WP, VJ, TH	· .		Χロ			0	D
NC			Χロ			· п	. 0
•		•	, Material	s Reviewed	1		٠.
Trial testimony tra Testimon	•	RC		s Reviewed	450-458		
Testimon	y Date(s):					LE MAJOR (\$1,000) 400 AT	
Testimon	y Date(s):	Unknow		Pages:		June 5, 1989	· •
Testimon aboratory-Report(Laborator	ny Date(s):	Unknow)	Pages:	450-458	June 5, 1989 June 22, 198	
Testimon aboratory-Report(Laborator Laborator Laborator	ny Date(s): s):	Unknow	n 32/80913049	Pages:	450-458 Date:		
Testimon aboratory-Report(Laborator Laborator Laborator	y Date(s): y Number: y Number: y Number:	808160 808160	n 32/80913049	Pages:	450-458 Date:		
Testimon aboratory-Report(Laborator Laborator Laborator Laborator	y Date(s): y Number: y Number: y Number:	Unknow	n 032/80913049 032/80913049	Pages:	450-458 Date:		
Testimon aboratory-Report(Laborator Laborator Laborator Laborator	y Number: y Number: y Number: y Number:	808160 808160 RQ	n 032/80913049 032/80913049	Pages:	450-458 Date:		 -

If yes, please id	lentify and/or describ	e the material:	Submitting a	igency ictio	ta (dated b		
· · · · · ·					· ·		
		•	• .				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				•	<u> </u>		•
	•	Results	s of Revie	·W	•	•	,
File #: 95-28	34520	Item or Spe	ecimen # Revi	iewed: C	231, Q56, K	.5, K7, K9,	K10.
		•	•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· .
•	Review	of Laboratory	Report(s) a	nd Bench	Notes:	•	•
•	Note: Nu	mbered commen	its are requir	ed below o	r on		•
	additional page	s for any "No" o	r "Unable to l	Determine	" Response	es .	•
l) Did the	e examiner perform the, protocols, and anal	e appropriate test ytic techniques av	ailable at the	time of th	otable mann e original c nable to De	exammano	n the n(s)?
2) Are the	examination results s	set forth in the lab	oratory report	t(s) support	ed and adec	quately doc	umented in
2) Are the	-	set forth in the lab	Yes X. 🗆	t(s) support No U		quately doc	umented in
2) Are the	examination results s	set forth in the lab	oratory report Yes X 11 Yes X 11 Of Testimor	t(s) support No □ U Ty:	ed and adec nable to De	quately doct	umented in
2) Are the	examination results s	Review	oratory report Yes X 11 Yes X 11 Of Testimor	t(s) support No □ U Ty:	ed and adec nable to De	quately doct	umented in
2) Are the the benderated Transcript not	examination results s ch notes? Note: No additional page t available.	Review of the land	oratory report Yes X D of Testimor of Testimor r "Unable to	t(s) support No U Ty: red below Determine	ed and adec nable to De nable to De	quately doct	
2) Are the the benderation of th	examination results s ch notes? Note: No additional page t available. onsistent with the lab	Review on the lab	oratory report Yes X D of Testimor of Testimor r "Unable to	t(s) support No □ U Ty: red below Determine	ed and adec nable to De or on e" Respons	quately doctoring termine	e to Determi
2) Are the the bend t	examination results so the notes? Note: No additional page to available. Consistent with the laboral so the notes of the notes?	Review on the lab report (s)?	oratory report Yes X D of Testimor of Testimor r "Unable to	t(s) support No U Ty: red below Determine X P Yes	ed and adec nable to De- or on a Respons	es Unable	e to Determin
2) Are the the bend t	examination results s ch notes? Note: No additional page t available. onsistent with the lab	Review on the lab report (s)?	oratory report Yes X D of Testimor of Testimor r "Unable to	t(s) support No □ U Ty: red below Determine	ed and adec nable to De or on e" Respons	es Unable	e to Determi
2) Are the the bend t	examination results so the notes? Note: No additional page to available. Consistent with the laboral so the notes of the notes?	Review on the lab report (s)?	oratory report Yes X D of Testimor of Testimor r "Unable to	t(s) support No U Ty: red below Determine X P Yes	ed and adec nable to De- or on a Respons	es Unable	e to Determin
2) Are the the bend t	examination results so the notes? Note: No additional page to available. Consistent with the laboral so the notes of the notes?	Review on the lab report (s)?	oratory report Yes X D of Testimor of Testimor r "Unable to	t(s) support No U Ty: red below Determine X P Yes	ed and adec nable to De- or on a Respons	es Unable	e to Determin
2) Are the the bend t	examination results so the notes? Note: No additional page to available. Consistent with the laboral so the notes of the notes?	Review on the lab report (s)?	oratory report Yes X D of Testimor of Testimor r "Unable to	t(s) support No U Ty: red below Determine X P Yes	ed and adec nable to De- or on a Respons	es Unable	e to Determin
2) Are the the bend t	examination results so the notes? Note: No additional page to available. Consistent with the laboral so the notes of the notes?	Review on the lab report (s)?	oratory report Yes X D of Testimor of Testimor r "Unable to	t(s) support No U Ty: red below Determine X P Yes	ed and adec nable to De- or on a Respons	es Unable	e to Determin

Comments
(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.

		Use "Ad	lditional Con	nments" Sheet, if need	led)	
File #:	95-284520					
#1. With 1	microscopic hai	r comparison, ev	en with the b	est notes, there is no	way to determine	the comparison
was perfo	rmed correctly.	Note, however,	that it appear	s the hair association	was verified by a	a second examiner.
	1			•		
The exam	iner did not per	form examinatio	ns that were	available to him to pr	operly compare (the cross-sectional
shape and	polymer comp	osition of the fib	ers.	-		
•				·		
#2. The to	erm "adequately	documented." is	interpreted t	o mean that a second	qualified examin	er can review the
notes, det	ermine what tes	ting was done an	d arrive at th	e same conclusion. T	he documentatio	n of the tests
performe	d in this case is 1	marginal, The no	tes are not d	ated or initialed. The	technician's note	s do not indicate
hair or fib	ers were recove	red from Q56, C	31, K5, K7,	K9, K10, but hair and	fibers from thes	se items were used to
	-		•	•		
, Review c	ompleted at:	11:45 AM	(Time),	03/12/2001	(Date)	
Total time	e snent conducti	ng review (to ne	arest 1/4 hou	r): 2:30 hour	S	, •
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,			-	
I hereby ce are fully do	rtify that I cond ocumented on th	ucted this review is report consisti	in an indépeng	endent, unbiased man	ner and that the r	results of my review
	•		Š	w. Relute		03/12/2001
			10.000	· (Signature)	*	(Date)
				· -		•
	•			•	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Page 3 of 4
Initials: SW

Additional Comments (Set forth by question #, if applicable)

File #:	95-284520		·		•			•
			•		<u>.</u>	• .	•	•
#2 (contix	nued): to form	the basis of an a	ssociation bet	ween the vic	tim and the	suspect. The	examiner u	ises
		s which this rev						
	`	e fibers are nylo						
	·······	ed or incomplet					,	·
							-	<u></u>
#4: The è	xaminer testifi	ed that he proce	ssed the victin	n's top, he co	onducted ana	lysis of the	victim's top	and that
		s on the top. Th						•
<u>.</u>								
#5: The e	xaminer's testi	mony (p.453 #2	.2, p. 454 #1, <u>1</u>	o. 454 #7, p	454 #14), an	d the labor	atory repo	rt, state
		from the victim						
		ape, trilobal fibe					•	
		liber is a carpet					-	
				,				
The exam	niner's conclus	ion that the victi	in probably w	as in contac	t with that ca	irpet (p 456	#2-11) is st	rong and
		rnate circumstar						
		e hair and fibers						
homicide		**				-	-,	
····	:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · 	
	·		•	· · · · · ·			•	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• .		-	-	
	· · · · · ·	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
		-	•	-				
•					•			
	<u> </u>		<u>. </u>			-		

Page 4 of 4.

Initials: SW.